## WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Ranjit Kumar Bag & The Hon'ble Subesh Kumar Das

#### Case No – OA-149 OF 2017 MOSTAFIZUR RAHAMAN SARDAR Vs. STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

		0.55
Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order.	2	of parties when necessary
1		3
03		
13.03.18	For the Applicant : Mr.Z.Islam	
	Learned Advocate	
	For the Respondent : None	
	Ter the respondent of terms	
	The applicant has challenged the order dated	
	October 5, 2016 passed by the Respondent No. 2,	
	Director of Health Services, Government of West Bengal	
	as illegal and arbitrary by filing original application	
	under Section 19 of the Administrative Tribunals Act,	
	1985.	
	The contention of the applicant is that the	
	impugned order dated October 5, 2016 was passed by	
	the Respondent No. 2 on the basis of direction given by	
	this Tribunal on July 20, 2015 in connection with OA-433	
	of 2014. It appears from the order dated July 20, 2015	
	passed by the Tribunal in OA-433 of 2014 that the	
	Tribunal had set aside the order passed by the	
	Respondent No. 2 by rejecting prayer for compassionate	
	appointment which was passed on the basis of Labour	
	Department Notification No. 97-EMP dated June 6, 2005.	
	The specific direction of the Tribunal was to reconsider	

#### MOSTAFIZUR RAHAMAN SARDAR

Form No.

.....

# $\label{eq:Vs.} \textbf{Vs.}$ The state of west bengal & ors.

.....

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	the case of the applicant for compassionate appointment	
	without being guided by the Notification No. 97-EMP	
	dated June 6, 2005 issued by the Labour Department,	
	Government of West Bengal. On perusal of the	
	impugned order under challenge in the original	
	application, we find that the prayer of the applicant for	
	compassionate appointment was rejected on two	
	grounds: first, the application was filed by the applicant	
	after long lapse of 7 years from the date of death of the	
	concerned employee and secondly, there was no need of	
	immediate financial assistance to the members of the	
	family of the deceased employee.	
	Learned Counsel for the applicant has urged the	
	Tribunal to consider that there was virtually no delay on	
	the part of the applicant in submitting the application for	
	compassionate appointment as the mother of the	
	applicant submitted the application in time and the	
	present applicant has stepped into the shoes of her	
	mother on the basis of the liberty granted by the Hon'ble	
	High Court in WPST No. 133 of 2012. Learned Counsel	
	has relied on the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court	
	in "Syed Khadim Hussain V. State of Bihar & Ors."	
	reported in (2006) 9 SCC 195 and the decision of the	

#### MOSTAFIZUR RAHAMAN SARDAR

Form No.

....

.....

# $\label{eq:Vs.} \textbf{Vs.}$ The state of west bengal & ors.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
	Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court in the case of "Syed Iftikar Ali V. State of West Bengal" reported in 2011 (2) CHN (CAL) 17 in support of his above contention. In "Syed Khadim Hussain V. State of Bihar & Ors." (supra) the widow of the deceased employee submitted the application in time and her son stepped into her shows after attaining majority and as such the Supreme Court gave direction to the authority concerned to consider the case of the applicant for compassionate appointment. In "Syed Iftikar Ali V. State of West Bengal" (supra) the widow of the deceased Teacher applied for compassionate appointment within the prescribed period of limitation and her son stepped into her shows due to her ill health and as such the Division Bench of the Hon'ble High Court gave direction to the authority concerned to consider the case of the applicant	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3

#### MOSTAFIZUR RAHAMAN SARDAR

Form No.

••••

## Vs. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessar
	the delay of 7 years in submitting the application cannot	
	be the ground for refusal of prayer for compassionate	
	appointment. However, the application for	
	compassionate appointment was not only rejected on the	
	ground of delay, but the same was also rejected for	
	absence of immediate need of financial assistance to the	
	members of the deceased family. In the absence of any	
	evidence to the contrary, we cannot persuade ourselves	
	to disagree with observation made by the Respondent	
	No. 2 about want of immediate need of financial	
	assistance to the member of the deceased family. Since	
	the object of giving compassionate appointment to any	
	dependent of the deceased employee is to tide over the	
	acute financial crisis caused by premature death of the	
	sole bread winner of the family and since in the instant	
	case, there was no need of immediate financial assistance	
	to the members of the deceased family, we cannot	
	persuade ourselves to intervene in the reasoned order	
	passed by the Respondent No. 2.	
	As a result, the original application is dismissed.	

MOSTAFIZIE	RAHAMAN SARDAR	
MICHALLEUK		

Form No.	

## Vs.

### THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Case No. <b>OA</b> -1	.49 OF 2017		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribuna 2	al with signature	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	Let a plain copy of the Learned Counsel for the application	he order be supplied to cant.	
	(S.K.DAS) MEMBER(A)	(R.K.BAG) MEMBER (J)	
BLR			